FORT BEND COUNTY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 138

FORT BEND COUNTY, TEXAS

FINANCIAL REPORT

June 30, 2017

Table of Contents

	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditors' Report		1
Management's Discussion and Analysis		5
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS		
Statement of Net Position and Governmental Funds Balance Sheet Statement of Activities and Governmental Funds Revenues, Expenditures		14
and Changes in Fund Balances		15
Notes to Basic Financial Statements		17
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION Budgetary Comparison Schedule – General Fund Notes to Required Supplementary Information		32 33
TEXAS SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION		
Services and Rates	TSI-1	36
General Fund Expenditures	TSI-2	38
Investments	TSI-3	39
Taxes Levied and Receivable	TSI-4	40
Long-Term Debt Service Requirements by Years	TSI-5	41
Change in Long-Term Bonded Debt	TSI-6	51
Comparative Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures – General Fund	TSI-7a	54
Comparative Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures – Debt Service Fund	TSI-7b	56
Board Members, Key Personnel and Consultants	TSI-8	58

McGrath & Co., PLLC

Certified Public Accountants
P.O. Box 270148
Houston, Texas 77277

Mark W. McGrath CPA mark@mcgrath-co.com

Colette M. Garcia CPA colette@mcgrath-co.com

Independent Auditors' Report

Board of Directors Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 Fort Bend County, Texas

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these basic financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the basic financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting principles used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Board of Directors Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 Fort Bend County, Texas

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138, as of June 30, 2017, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other-Matters

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's financial statements as a whole. The Texas Supplementary Information is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The Texas Supplementary Information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied to the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Houston, Texas

Ul-Grath & Co. Pecc

October 9, 2017

Management's Discussion and Analysis

(This page intentionally left blank)

Using this Annual Report

Within this section of the financial report of Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 (the "District"), the District's Board of Directors provides a narrative discussion and analysis of the financial activities of the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. This analysis should be read in conjunction with the independent auditors' report and the basic financial statements that follow this section.

In addition to this discussion and analysis, this annual report consists of:

- The District's basic financial statements;
- Notes to the basic financial statements, which provide additional information essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the financial statements;
- Supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) concerning the District's budget; and
- Other Texas supplementary information required by the District's state oversight agency, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ).

Overview of the Financial Statements

The District prepares its basic financial statements using a format that combines fund financial statements and government-wide statements onto one financial statement. The combined statements are the Statement of Net Position and Governmental Funds Balance Sheet and the Statement of Activities and Governmental Funds Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances. Each statement contains an adjustments column which quantifies the differences between the government-wide and fund level statements. Additional details of the adjustments are provided in Note 2 to the basic financial statements.

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The focus of government-wide financial statements is on the overall financial position and activities of the District, both long-term and short-term. The District's government-wide financial statements consist of the *Statement of Net Position* and the *Statement of Activities*, which are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting. The *Statement of Net Position* includes all of the District's assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources with the residual reported as net position. Over time, changes in net position may provide a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the District as a whole is improving or deteriorating.

Accounting standards establish three components of net position. The net investment in capital assets component represents the District's investments in capital assets, less any outstanding debt or other borrowings used to acquire those assets. Resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities. The restricted component of net position consists of financial resources that are restricted for a specific purpose by enabling legislation or external parties. The unrestricted component of net position represents resources not included in the other components.

The Statement of Activities reports how the District's net position has changed during the fiscal year. All revenues and expenses are included on this statement, regardless of whether cash has been received or paid.

Fund Financial Statements

The fund financial statements include the *Governmental Funds Balance Sheet* and the *Governmental Funds Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances.* The focus of fund financial statements is on specific activities of the District rather than the District as a whole, reported using modified accrual accounting. These statements report on the District's use of available financial resources and the balances of available financial resources at the end of the year. Except for the General Fund, a specific fund is established to satisfy managerial control over resources or to satisfy finance-related legal requirements established by external parties, governmental statutes or regulations.

For further discussion on the government-wide and fund financial statements, please refer to Note 1 in the financial statements.

Financial Analysis of the District as a Whole

The District's net position at June 30, 2017, was negative \$31,775,868. The District's net position is negative because the District incurs debt to construct water, sewer, drainage and road facilities which it conveys to the City of Sugar Land. A comparative summary of the District's overall financial position, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, is as follows:

	2017	2016
Current and other assets	\$ 5,316,531	\$ 5,787,017
Capital assets	5,633,693	5,843,556
Total assets	10,950,224	11,630,573
Total deferred outflows of resources	1,300,275	1,381,543
Current liabilities	2,811,245	2,780,183
Long-term liabilities	41,215,122	44,180,735
Total liabilities	44,026,367	46,960,918
Net position		
Net investment in capital assets	(1,697,236)	(1,821,903)
Restricted	4,512,773	4,369,335
Unrestricted	(34,591,405)	(36,496,234)
Total net position	\$ (31,775,868)	\$ (33,948,802)

The total net position of the District increased during the current fiscal year by \$2,172,934. A comparative summary of the District's *Statement of Activities* for the past two years is as follows:

	2017	
Revenues		
Property taxes, penalties and interest	\$ 3,319,560	\$ 3,231,950
City of Sugar Land tax rebates	1,107,434	1,066,265
Other	9,705	23,788
Total revenues	4,436,699	4,322,003
Expenses		
Operating and administrative	216,222	204,222
Interest and fees	1,591,812	2,100,451
Debt issuance costs	11,685	385,703
TIRZ payments	123,854	90,857
Amortization	209,863	209,863
Total expenses	2,153,436	2,991,096
Change in net position before other items	2,283,263	1,330,907
Other items		
Transfers to other governments	(110,329)	(813,713)
Change in estimate of due to developers		(647,838)
Change in net position	2,172,934	(130,644)
Net position, beginning of year	(33,948,802)	(33,818,158)
Net position, end of year	\$ (31,775,868)	\$ (33,948,802)

Financial Analysis of the District's Funds

The District's combined fund balances, as of June 30, 2017, were \$5,129,888, which consists of \$150,467 in the General Fund and \$4,979,421 in the Debt Service Fund.

General Fund

A comparative summary of the General Fund's financial position as of June 30, 2017 and 2016 is as follows:

	2017			2016
Total assets	\$ 161,980		\$	614,468
75 11:17:	0.462	•	Φ.	0.004
Total liabilities	\$ 8,462		\$	8,336
Total deferred inflows	3,051			6,451
Total fund balance	150,467			599,681
Total liabilities, deferred inflows and fund balance	\$ 161,980		\$	614,468

A comparative summary of the General Fund's activities for the current and prior fiscal year is as follows:

	2017		2016		2016
Total revenues	\$	484,745		\$	349,289
Total expenditures		(933,959)			(714,757)
Revenues under expenditures	\$	(449,214)		\$	(365,468)

The District manages its activities with the objectives of ensuring that expenditures will be adequately covered by revenues each year and that an adequate fund balance is maintained. The District's primary financial resources in the General Fund are from a property tax levy and City of Sugar Land rebates. Financial resources are influenced by a variety of factors each year:

- Property tax revenues are dependent upon assessed values in the District and the maintenance tax rate set by the District. Property tax revenues increased from prior year because the District increased the maintenance and operations component of the levy and because assessed values increased from prior year
- City of Sugar Land tax rebates in the General Fund are based on the portion of the TIRZ payment that the District owes the City.

Debt Service Fund

A comparative summary of the Debt Service Fund's financial position as of June 30, 2017 and 2016 is as follows:

Total assets	2017 \$ 5,010,556	2016 \$ 4,890,426
Total liabilities Total deferred inflows	\$ 302 30,833	"
Total fund balance Total liabilities, deferred inflows and fund balance	4,979,421 \$ 5,010,556	4,809,290

A comparative summary of the Debt Service Fund's activities the current and prior fiscal year is as follows:

2017	2016
\$ 4,005,138	\$ 3,926,701
(3,835,007)	(3,992,486)
170,131	(65,785)
	244,285
\$ 170,131	\$ 178,500
	\$ 4,005,138 (3,835,007) 170,131

The District's financial resources in the Debt Service Fund in both the current year and prior year are from property tax revenues. The difference between these financial resources and debt service requirements resulted in an increase in fund balance each year. It is important to note that the District

sets its annual debt service tax rate as recommended by its financial advisor, who monitors projected cash flows in the Debt Service Fund to ensure that the District will be able to meet its future debt service requirements.

During the previous fiscal year, the District issued \$8,765,000 in refunding bonds to refund \$8,655,000 of its outstanding Series 2007 and Series 2009 bonds. This refunding will save the District \$771,654 in future debt service requirements.

Capital Projects Fund

A comparative summary of the Capital Projects Fund's financial position as of June 30, 2017 and 2016 is as follows:

	2017			2016		
Total assets	\$	_	\$	129,228		
Total liabilities	\$	-	\$	756		
Total fund balance				128,472		
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	-	\$	129,228		

A comparative summary of activities in the Capital Projects Fund for the current and prior fiscal year is as follows:

	2017		2016
Total revenues	\$	64	\$ 202
Total expenditures		(128,536)	(2,967,129)
Revenues under expenditures		(128,472)	(2,966,927)
Other changes in fund balance			2,621,820
Net change in fund balance	\$	(128,472)	\$ (345,107)

The District did not have any significant capital asset activity in the current fiscal year; but had considerable capital asset activity in the prior year, which was financed with proceeds from of its \$2,645,000 Series 2015 Unlimited Tax Bonds.

General Fund Budgetary Highlights

The Board of Directors adopts an annual unappropriated budget for the General Fund prior to the beginning of each fiscal year. The Board amended the budget during the year to reflect changes in anticipated revenues.

Since the District's budget is primarily a planning tool, actual results varied from the budgeted amounts. Actual net change in fund balance was \$634,298 less than budgeted. The *Budgetary Comparison Schedule* on page 32 of this report provides variance information per financial statement line item.

Capital Assets

Capital assets held by the District at June 30, 2017 and 2016 are summarized as follows:

	2017	2016
Capital assets being amortized	<u> </u>	
Master District connection fees	\$ 1,067,145	\$ 1,067,145
Impact fees	6,307,380	6,307,380
	7,374,525	7,374,525
Less accumulated amortization		
Master District connection fees	(403,322)	(223,143)
Impact fees	(1,337,510)	(1,307,826)
	(1,740,832)	(1,530,969)
Capital assets, net	\$ 5,633,693	\$ 5,843,556

The District and the City of Sugar Land (the "City") have entered into an agreement which obligates the District to construct water, wastewater, and certain storm drainage facilities to serve the District and, when completed, to convey title to the facilities to the City. For the year ended June 30, 2017, capital assets in the amount of \$110,329 have been completed and recorded as transfers to other governments in the government-wide statements.

Long-Term Debt and Related Liabilities

As of June 30, 2017, the District owes \$42,774 to developers for completed projects.

At June 30, 2017 and 2016, the District had total bonded debt outstanding as shown below:

Series	2017	2016
2007	\$ -	\$ 170,000
2009	560,000	840,000
2009A	3,670,000	3,875,000
2011 Road	2,855,000	2,950,000
2012	5,130,000	5,420,000
2013 Refunding	6,525,000	6,840,000
2014 Road	13,410,000	14,155,000
2015	2,510,000	2,645,000
2016 Refunding	8,750,000	8,765,000
	\$ 43,410,000	\$ 45,660,000

At June 30, 2017, the District had \$52,600,000 unlimited tax bonds authorized, but unissued for the purposes of acquiring, constructing and improving the water, sanitary sewer and drainage systems within the District; \$7,600,000 for parks and recreational facilities; \$19,570,000 for road improvements and \$55,080,000 for refunding purposes.

Next Year's Budget

In establishing the budget for the next fiscal year, the Board considered various economic factors that may affect the District, most notably projected revenues from property taxes, City of Sugar Land tax rebates and the projected cost of operating the District. A comparison of next year's budget to current year actual amounts for the General Fund is as follows:

	2017 Actual			201	18 Budget
Total revenues	\$	484,745	-	\$	757,500
Total expenditures		(933,959)	_		(132,950)
Revenues over/(under) expenditures		(449,214)	-		624,550
Beginning fund balance		599,681			150,467
Ending fund balance	\$	150,467		\$	775,017

Property Taxes

The District's property tax base increased approximately \$17,368,000 for the 2017 tax year from \$791,227,658 to \$808,595,395. This increase was primarily due to increased property values. For the 2017 tax year, the District levied a maintenance tax rate of \$0.095 per \$100 of assessed value, a debt service tax rate of \$0.20 per \$100 of assessed value, and \$0.12 per \$100 for road debt service for a total combined tax rate of \$0.415 per \$100. Tax rates for the 2016 tax year were \$0.045 per \$100 for maintenance and operations, \$0.235 per \$100 for debt service and \$0.135 for road debt service.

(This page intentionally left blank)

Basic Financial Statements

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 Statement of Net Position and Governmental Funds Balance Sheet June 30, 2017

		General Fund	S	Debt ervice Fund	Pr	apital ojects Fund		Total	Adju	stments		Statement Net Position
Assets	Φ.	454560	* 4	245 400	Φ.		Φ.	4 400 050	Φ.		Φ.	4 400 050
Cash	\$	154,763		245,489	\$	-	\$	4,400,252	\$	-	\$	4,400,252
Investments		2.054		732,903				732,903				732,903
Taxes receivable		3,051		30,833				33,884				33,884
Prepaid items		4,166		1 221				4,166				4,166
Accrued interest receivable				1,331				1,331		1.42.005		1,331
Prepaid bond insurance, net										143,995		143,995
Capital assets, net		171 000		010.557				F 170 F26		633,693		5,633,693
Total Assets	\$	161,980	\$ 5,	010,556	\$	_	\$	5,172,536	5,	777,688		10,950,224
Deferred Outflows of Resources Deferred difference on refunding									1,	300,275	_	1,300,275
Liabilities												
Accounts payable	\$	8,462	\$	_	\$	_	\$	8,462				8,462
Due to others				302				302				302
Accrued interest payable										497,481		497,481
Due to developers										42,774		42,774
Long-term debt												
Due within one year									2,	305,000		2,305,000
Due after one year									41,	172,348		41,172,348
Total Liabilities		8,462		302		-		8,764	44,	017,603		44,026,367
Deferred Inflows of Resources												
Deferred property taxes		3,051		30,833				33,884		(33,884)		
Fund Balances/Net Position		- ,								())	-	
Fund Balances												
Nonspendable		4,166						4,166		(4,166)		
Restricted		,	4.	979,421				4,979,421	(4.	979,421)		
Unassigned		146,301	-,	,				146,301	•	(146,301)		
Total Fund Balances		150,467	4.	979,421		_		5,129,888		129,888)	-	
Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows		,,						, ,		, , ,	-	
of Resources and Fund Balances	\$	161,980	\$ 5,	010,556	\$	-	\$	5,172,536				
Net Position												
Net investment in capital assets									(1,	697,236)		(1,697,236)
Restricted for debt service									4,	512,773		4,512,773
Unrestricted									(34,	591,405)		(34,591,405)
Total Net Position									\$ (31,	775,868)	\$	(31,775,868)
See notes to basic financial statemen	ts.											

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138
Statement of Activities and Governmental Funds Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

	General Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Total	Adjustments	Statement of Activities
Revenues						
Property taxes	\$ 358,833	\$2,965,428	\$ -	\$3,324,261	\$ (46,398)	
Penalties and interest		48,547		48,547	(6,850)	41,697
City of Sugar Land tax rebates	123,854	983,580		1,107,434		1,107,434
Investment earnings	2,058	7,583	64	9,705		9,705
Total Revenues	484,745	4,005,138	64	4,489,947	(53,248)	4,436,699
Expenditures/Expenses						
Operating and administrative						
Professional fees	107,392		5,103	112,495		112,495
Contracted services	13,650	50,552		64,202		64,202
Administrative	28,788	3,177		31,965		31,965
Other		7,560		7,560		7,560
Capital outlay	641,961		123,433	765,394	(765,394)	
Debt service						
Principal		2,250,000		2,250,000	(2,250,000)	
Interest and fees	6,629	1,523,718		1,530,347	61,465	1,591,812
Debt issuance costs	11,685			11,685		11,685
Intergovernmental						
TIRZ payments	123,854			123,854		123,854
Amortization					209,863	209,863
Total Expenditures/Expenses	933,959	3,835,007	128,536	4,897,502	(2,744,066)	2,153,436
Revenues Over (Under)						
Expenditures/Expenses	(449,214)	170,131	(128,472)	(407,555)	2,690,818	2,283,263
Other Items						
Transfers to other governments					(110,329)	(110,329)
Net Change in Fund Balances	(449,214)	170,131	(128,472)	(407,555)	407,555	
Change in Net Position					2,172,934	2,172,934
Fund Balance/Net Position						
Beginning of the year	599,681	4,809,290	128,472	5,537,443	(39,486,245)	(33,948,802)
End of the year	\$ 150,467	\$4,979,421	\$ -	\$5,129,888	\$ (36,905,756)	\$ (31,775,868)

See notes to basic financial statements.

(This page intentionally left blank)

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting policies of Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 (the "District") conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as promulgated by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The following is a summary of the most significant policies:

Creation

The District was organized, created and established pursuant to an order of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality dated April 1, 2005, and operates in accordance with the Texas Water Code, Chapters 49 and 54. The Board of Directors held its first meeting on June 20, 2005 and the first bonds were sold on June 26, 2007.

The District's primary activities include the construction of water, sewer, drainage and road facilities. As further discussed in Note 10, the District transfers these facilities to the City of Sugar Land for operation and maintenance upon completion of construction. The District has contracted with various consultants to provide services to operate and administer the affairs of the District. The District has no employees, related payroll or pension costs.

Reporting Entity

The District is a political subdivision of the State of Texas governed by an elected five-member board. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has established the criteria for determining whether or not an entity is a primary government or a component unit of a primary government. The primary criteria are that it has a separately elected governing body; it is legally separate; and it is fiscally independent of other state and local governments. Under these criteria, the District is considered a primary government and is not a component unit of any other government. Additionally, no other entities meet the criteria for inclusion in the District's financial statements as component units.

Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

Government-wide financial statements display information about the District as a whole. These statements focus on the sustainability of the District as an entity and the change in aggregate financial position resulting from the activities of the fiscal period. Interfund activity, if any, has been removed from these statements. These aggregated statements consist of the *Statement of Net Position* and the *Statement of Activities*.

Fund financial statements display information at the individual fund level. A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for a specific purpose. Each fund is considered to be a separate accounting entity. Most governments typically have many funds; however, governmental financial statements focus on the most important or "major" funds with non-major funds aggregated in a single column. The District has three governmental funds, which are all considered major funds.

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

The following is a description of the various funds used by the District:

- The General Fund is used to account for the operations of the District and all other financial transactions not reported in other funds. The principal sources of revenue are property taxes and City of Sugar Land tax rebates. Expenditures include costs associated with the daily operations of the District.
- <u>The Debt Service Fund</u> is used to account for the payment of interest and principal on the District's general long-term debt. The primary source of revenue for debt service is property taxes. Expenditures include costs incurred in assessing and collecting these taxes.
- The Capital Projects Fund is used to account for the expenditures of bond proceeds for the construction of the District's water, sewer, drainage and road facilities.

As a special-purpose government engaged in a single governmental program, the District has opted to combine its government-wide and fund financial statements in a columnar format showing an adjustments column for reconciling items between the two.

Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

The government-wide financial statements use the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenue in the year for which they are levied.

The fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenue is recognized in the accounting period in which it becomes both available and measurable to finance expenditures of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers revenues to be available if they are collected within sixty days of the end of the current fiscal period. Revenues susceptible to accrual include property taxes, City of Sugar Land tax rebates and interest earned on investments. Property taxes receivable at the end of the fiscal year are treated as deferred inflows because they are not considered available to pay liabilities of the current period. Expenditures are recognized in the accounting period in which the liability is incurred, if measurable, except for unmatured interest on long-term debt, which is recognized when due.

Note 2 further details the adjustments from the governmental fund presentation to the government-wide presentation.

Use of Restricted Resources

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, the District uses restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Prepaid Items

Certain payments made by the District reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items in both the government-wide and fund financial statements.

Prepaid Bond Insurance

Prepaid bond insurance reduces the District's borrowing costs and is, therefore, recorded as asset in the government-wide *Statement of Net Position* and amortized to interest expense over the life of the bonds.

Receivables

All receivables are reported at their gross value and, where appropriate, are reduced by the estimated portion that is expected to be uncollectible. Receivables from and payables to external parties are reported separately and are not offset, unless a legal right of offset exists. At June 30, 2017, an allowance for uncollectible property taxes was not considered necessary.

Interfund Activity

During the course of operations, transactions occur between individual funds. This can include internal transfers, payables and receivables. This activity is combined as internal balances and is eliminated in both the government-wide and fund financial statement presentation.

Capital Assets

Capital assets do not provide financial resources at the fund level, and, therefore, are reported only in the government-wide statements. The District defines capital assets as assets with an initial cost of \$5,000 or more and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Capital assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost. Donated capital assets are recorded at the estimated fair market value at the date of donation. The District has not capitalized interest incurred during the construction of its capital assets. The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the assets or materially extend asset lives are not capitalized.

Depreciable capital assets, which primarily consist of impact fees and connection fees, are amortized using the straight-line method as follows:

Assets	Useful Life
Impact fees	Remaining life of contract
Connection fees	Remaining life of contract

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Deferred Inflows and Outflows of Financial Resources

A deferred inflow of financial resources is the acquisition of resources in one period that is applicable to a future period, while a deferred outflow of financial resources is the consumption of financial resources in one period that is applicable to a future period. A deferred inflow results from the acquisition of an asset without a corresponding revenue or assumption of a liability. A deferred outflow results from the use of an asset without a corresponding expenditure or reduction of a liability.

At the fund level, property taxes receivable not collected within 60 days of fiscal year end do not meet the availability criteria required for revenue recognition and are recorded as deferred inflows of financial resources.

Deferred outflows of financial resources at the government-wide level are from refunding bond transaction in which the amount required to repay the old debt exceeded the net carrying amount of the old debt. This amount is being amortized to interest expense.

Fund Balances – Governmental Funds

Governmental accounting standards establish the following fund balance classifications:

Nonspendable - amounts that cannot be spent either because they are in nonspendable form or because they are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. The District's nonspendable fund balance consists of prepaid items.

Restricted - amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments. The District's restricted fund balances consist of property taxes levied for debt service and City of Sugar Land tax rebates in the Debt Service Fund.

Committed - amounts that can be used only for specific purposes determined by a formal action of the Board of Directors. The Board is the highest level of decision-making authority for the District. Commitments may be established, modified, or rescinded only through ordinances or resolutions approved by the Board. Committed fund balance also incorporates contractual obligations to the extent that existing resources in the fund have been specifically committed for use in satisfying those contractual requirements. The District does not have any committed fund balances.

Assigned - amounts that do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed but that are intended to be used for specific purposes. The District has not adopted a formal policy regarding the assignment of fund balances and does not have any assigned fund balances.

Unassigned - all other spendable amounts in the General Fund.

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Fund Balances – Governmental Funds (continued)

When an expenditure is incurred for which committed, assigned, or unassigned fund balances are available, the District considers amounts to have been spent first out of committed funds, then assigned funds, and finally unassigned funds.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and revenues and expenses/expenditures during the period reported. These estimates include, among others, the collectibility of receivables; the useful lives and impairment of capital assets; the value of amounts due to developer; and the value of capital assets for which the developers have not been fully reimbursed. Estimates and assumptions are reviewed periodically and the effects of revisions are reflected in the financial statements in the period they are determined to be necessary. Actual results could differ from the estimates.

Note 2 – Adjustment from Governmental to Government-wide Basis

Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position

Total fund balance, governmental funds		\$ 5,129,888
Prepaid bond insurance is recorded as an asset and amortized to interest expense in the government wide statements.		143,995
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as assets in governmental funds. Historical cost Less accumulated amortization Change due to capital assets	\$ 7,374,525 (1,740,832)	5,633,693
The difference between the face amount of bonds refunded and the amount paid to the escrow agent is recorded as a deferred difference on refunding in the <i>Statement of Net Position</i> and amortized to interest expense. It is not recorded in the fund statements because it is not a financial resource.		1,300,275
illianciai resource.		1,300,273
Amounts due to the District's developers for prefunded construction are recorded as a liability in the <i>Statement of Net Position</i> .		(42,774)
Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported as liabilities in the governmental funds. The difference consists of: Bonds payable, net Interest payable on bonds Change due to long-term debt	(43,477,348) (497,481)	(43,974,829)
Property taxes receivable and related penalties and interest have been levied and are due, but are not available soon enough to pay current period expenditures and, therefore, are deferred in the funds. Property taxes receivable Penalty and interest receivable	27,043 6,841	
Change due to property taxes		33,884
Total net position - governmental activities		\$ (31,775,868)

Note 2 – Adjustment from Governmental to Government-wide Basis (continued)

Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances to the Statement of Activities

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds		\$ (407,555)
Governmental funds do not report revenues that are not available to pay		
current obligations. In contrast, such revenues are reported in the		
Statement of Activities when earned. The difference is for property taxes		
and related penalties and interest.		(53,248)
Governmental funds report capital outlays for developer reimbursements		
as expenditures in the funds; however, in the Statement of Activities, impact		
fees paid to the Master District and connection charges paid to the City		
of Sugar Land are capitalized and charged to expense over the remaining		
life of the contract. Other assets are recorded as transfers to other		
governments.		
Capital outlays	\$ 765,394	
Transfers to other governments	(110,329)	
Amortization expense	(209,863)	
		445,202
The issuance of long-term debt provides current financial resources to		
governmental funds, while the repayment of principal uses current		
financial resources. However, neither transaction has any effect on net		
assets. Other elements of debt financing are reported differently between		
the fund and government wide statements.		
Principal payments	2,250,000	
Interest expense accrual	(61,465)	
		2,188,535
Change in net position of governmental activities		\$ 2,172,934

Note 3 – Deposits and Investments

Deposit Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk as it applies to deposits (i.e. cash and certificates of deposit) is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the depository institution, a government will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities. The *Public Funds Collateral Act* (Chapter 2257, Texas Government Code) requires that all of the District's deposits with financial institutions be covered by federal depository insurance and, if necessary, pledged collateral held by a third party custodian. The act further specifies the types of securities that can be used as collateral. The District's written investment policy establishes additional requirements for collateralization of deposits.

Note 3 – Deposits and Investments (continued)

Investments

The District is authorized by the *Public Funds Investment Act* (Chapter 2256, Texas Government Code) to invest in the following: (1) obligations of the United States or its agencies and instrumentalities, (2) direct obligations of the State of Texas or its agencies and instrumentalities, (3) certain collateralized mortgage obligations, (4) other obligations, which are unconditionally guaranteed or insured by the State of Texas or the United States or its agencies or instrumentalities, including obligations that are fully guaranteed or insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or by the explicit full faith and credit of the United States, (5) certain A rated or higher obligations of states and political subdivisions of any state, (6) bonds issued, assumed or guaranteed by the State of Israel, (7) insured or collateralized certificates of deposit, (8) certain fully collateralized repurchase agreements, (9) bankers' acceptances with limitations, (10) commercial paper rated A-1 or P-1 or higher and a maturity of 270 days or less, (11) no-load money market mutual funds and no-load mutual funds, with limitations, (12) certain guaranteed investment contracts, (13) certain qualified governmental investment pools and (14) a qualified securities lending program.

The District has adopted a written investment policy to establish the principles by which the District's investment program should be managed. This policy further restricts the types of investments in which the District may invest.

As of June 30, 2017, the District's investments consist of certificates of deposit in the Debt Service Fund, which are reported at cost.

Note 4 – Capital Assets

A summary of changes in capital assets, for the year ended June 30, 2017, is as follows:

	Beginning					Ending		
	1	Balances	Additions			Balances		
Capital assets being amortized								
Impact fees	\$	6,307,380	\$	-	\$	6,307,380		
Master District connection fees		1,067,145				1,067,145		
		7,374,525				7,374,525		
Less accumulated amortization								
Impact fees		(1,307,826)		(29,684)		(1,337,510)		
Master District connection fees		(223,143)		(180,179)		(403,322)		
		(1,530,969)		(209,863)		(1,740,832)		
Capital assets, net	\$	5,843,556	\$	(209,863)	\$	5,633,693		

Amortization expense for the current year was \$209,863.

Note 5 – Due to Developers

The District has entered into financing agreements with its developers for the financing of the construction of water, sewer, drainage, and park and recreational facilities and road improvements. Under the agreements, the developers will advance funds for the construction of facilities to serve the District. The developers will be reimbursed from proceeds of future bond issues or other lawfully available funds, subject to approval by TCEQ, as applicable. The District does not record the capital asset and related liability on the government-wide statements until construction of the facilities is complete.

Changes in amounts due to developers during the year are as follows:

Due to developers, beginning of year	\$ 697,839
Developer reimbursements	(765,394)
Developer funded construction and adjustments	 110,329
Due to developers, end of year	\$ 42,774

Note 6 – Long–Term Debt

Long-term debt is comprised of the following:

Bonds payable	\$ 43,410,000
Unamortized discounts	(599,656)
Unamortized premium	667,004
	\$ 43,477,348

Note 6 – Long–Term Debt (continued)

The District's bonds payable at June 30, 2017, consists of unlimited tax bonds as follows:

				Maturity Date,		
				Serially,	Interest	
	Amounts	Original	Interest	Beginning/	Payment	Call
Series	Outstanding	Issue	Rates	Ending	Dates	Dates
2009	\$ 560,000	\$ 6,650,000	4.00%-6.00%	September 1,	September 1,	September 1,
				2010-2033	March 1	2018
2009A	3,670,000	5,085,000	3.65%-5.50%	September 1,	September 1,	September 1,
				2010-2034	March 1	2018
2011	2,855,000	3,205,000	3.625%-5.625%	September 1,	September 1,	September 1,
Road				2013-2034	March 1	2019
2012	5,130,000	6,285,000	2.50%-3.25%	September 1, 2013-2034	September 1, March 1	September 1, 2020
2013	6,525,000	7,175,000	2.00%-3.50%	September 1,	September 1,	September 1,
Refunding				2013-2032	March 1	2020
2014	13,410,000	14,900,000	2.00%-4.00%	September 1,	September 1,	September 1,
Road	10,110,000	1,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2.0070 1.0070	2015-2034	March 1	2022
2015	2,510,000	2,645,000	2.00%-3.40%	September 1,	September 1,	September 1,
2013	2,310,000	2,043,000	2.00/0-3.40/0	2016-2034	March 1	2023
204.6	0.750.000	0.745.000	2 000/ 4 000/			
2016	8,750,000	8,765,000	2.00%-4.00%	September 1,	September 1,	September 1,
Refunding	\$ 42 410 000			2016-2033	March 1	2022
	\$ 43,410,000					

Payments of principal and interest on all series of bonds are to be provided from taxes levied on all properties within the District. Investment income realized by the Debt Service Fund from investment of idle funds will be used to pay outstanding bond principal and interest. The District is in compliance with the terms of its bond resolutions.

At June 30, 2017, the District had authorized but unissued bonds in the amount of \$52,600,000 for water, sewer and drainage facilities; \$7,600,000 for park and recreational facilities; \$19,570,000 for road improvements, and \$55,080,000 for refunding purposes.

The change in the District's long-term debt during the year is as follows:

Bonds payable, beginning of year	\$ 45,660,000
Bonds retired	 (2,250,000)
Bonds payable, end of year	\$ 43,410,000

Note 6 – Long–Term Debt (continued)

As of June 30, 2017, annual debt service requirements on bonds outstanding are as follows:

Year	Principal			Interest			Totals			
2018	\$	2,305,000	-	\$	1,446,220	\$	3,751,220			
2019		2,325,000			1,377,477		3,702,477			
2020		2,345,000			1,310,338		3,655,338			
2021		2,355,000			1,243,972		3,598,972			
2022		2,375,000			1,173,495		3,548,495			
2023		2,410,000			1,099,748		3,509,748			
2024		2,430,000			1,023,889		3,453,889			
2025		2,460,000			943,103		3,403,103			
2026		2,500,000			857,631		3,357,631			
2027		2,530,000			769,642		3,299,642			
2028		2,565,000			679,075		3,244,075			
2029		2,600,000			585,817		3,185,817			
2030		2,635,000			490,689		3,125,689			
2031		2,680,000			393,354		3,073,354			
2032		2,710,000			292,209		3,002,209			
2033		2,755,000			188,194		2,943,194			
2034		1,830,000			99,426		1,929,426			
2035		1,600,000	_		31,616		1,631,616			
	\$	43,410,000		\$	14,005,890	\$	57,415,890			

Note 7 – Property Taxes

On September 10, 2005, the voters of the District authorized the District's Board of Directors to levy taxes annually for use in financing general operations limited to \$1.50 per \$100 of assessed value. In addition, on May 12, 2007, the voters of the District authorized \$0.25 per \$100 of assessed value for road maintenance. The District's bond resolutions require that property taxes be levied for use in paying interest and principal on long-term debt and for use in paying the cost of assessing and collecting taxes. Taxes levied to finance debt service requirements on long-term debt are without limitation as to rate or amount.

All property values and exempt status, if any, are determined by the Fort Bend Central Appraisal District. Assessed values are determined as of January 1 of each year, at which time a tax lien attaches to the related property. Taxes are levied around October/November, are due upon receipt and are delinquent the following February 1. Penalty and interest attach thereafter.

Property taxes are collected based on rates adopted in the year of the levy. The District's 2017 fiscal year was financed through the 2016 tax levy, pursuant to which the District levied property taxes of \$0.415 per \$100 of assessed value, of which \$0.045 was allocated to maintenance and operations, \$0.235 was allocated to water, sewer and drainage debt service and \$0.135 was allocated to road debt service. The resulting tax levy was \$3,283,594 on the adjusted taxable value of \$791,227,658.

Note 7 – Property Taxes (continued)

Property taxes receivable, at June 30, 2017, consisted of the following:

Current year taxes receivable	\$ 17,944
Prior years taxes receivable	9,099
	27,043
Penalty and interest receivable	6,841
Total property taxes receivable	\$ 33,884

Note 8 – Transfers to Other Governments

In accordance with an agreement between the District and the City of Sugar Land (the "City"), the District transfers all of its water, sewer, drainage and road facilities to the City (see Note 10). Accordingly, the District does not record these capital assets in the *Statement of Net Position*, but instead reports the completed projects as transfers to other governments on the *Statement of Activities*. For the year ended June 30, 2016, the total amount of projects completed and transferred to the City was \$110,329.

Note 9 – Master District

On October 10, 2005, the District entered into a contract (the "Contract") with Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 136 (the "Master District") to construct certain regional water, sewer and drainage improvements within the Telfair master planned community. The District is obligated to pay a pro-rata share of the costs of these regional improvements as a capital recovery charge (the "Master District Connection Charge"). The Master District Connection Charge is set by the Master District and may be amended without the District's consent at any time. Due to the adoption of the Agreement (described below), the Master District will no longer collect these connection charges.

On August 8, 2016, the District entered into a Cost Sharing Agreement for Construction of Facilities (the "Agreement") with the Master District, Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 137 and Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 139 (the "Participating Districts"). Pursuant to the Agreement, costs associated with the construction of certain shared facilities to serve the Telfair master planned community were allocated between the Participating Districts on a pro-rata basis based on capacity provided by the shared facilities. Each Participating District has entered into financing agreements with Telfair's developer for the construction of the facilities and will assume responsibility for reimbursing the developer in accordance with the reallocation of costs.

While the Agreement was executed in the prior fiscal year, the reallocation is based on each Participating District's capacity as of June 30, 2016 and affects the amount reported as due to developer as of that date; therefore, it was appropriate to record the financial impact of the Agreement in the prior fiscal year.

Note 10 – Utility Agreement with the City of Sugar Land

Residents of the District receive water and wastewater treatment service from the City of Sugar Land (the "City") pursuant to a Utility Agreement between the District and the City. As a condition of such service, the Utility Agreement obligates the District to acquire, construct and extend water, sanitary sewer and drainage facilities (the "System") to serve land in the District and, when completed in accordance with approved plans and specifications, to convey title of the System to the City. The City then operates and maintains the System, and is responsible for establishing water and sewer rates and billing and collecting for such services. The Utility Agreement provides that the District retains a security interest in the System to secure the City's performance under the Utility Agreement until the District's bonds have been fully paid, at which time the District will execute a release of such interest, and the City will own the System unencumbered.

The District has agreed to extend the System to serve future users as necessary so that ultimately all landowners in the District will be able to receive services from the System; however, the District's obligation to extend the System is conditioned upon the developer continuing with its development program, the City's performing under the provisions of the Utility Agreement, the satisfaction of certain determinations of economic feasibility, governmental agency approvals and the ability to sell additional bonds. The Utility Agreement further requires the District to pay the City a capital recovery charge (the "Impact Fees") to purchase water supply and wastewater treatment capacity in the City's existing system. The Impact Fees are set by the City and may be amended without the District's consent at any time.

The City levies and collects ad valorem taxes on taxable property within the District as it does with any other property located in the City. Pursuant to the Utility Agreement, the City has agreed to rebate to the District fifty percent (50%) of such City taxes collected upon taxable property within the District beginning with taxes collected for the 2006 tax year (the District's initial year of taxation) and continuing each year thereafter until the District's unlimited tax debt has been paid in full. The amount of rebate payment will vary with changes in the City's tax rate and the District's appraised valuation. Consequently, the amounts subject to rebate by the City will vary from year to year. For the year ended June 30, 2017, the District received \$1,107,434 in rebates from the City of Sugar Land.

Note 11 – Tax Increment Reinvestment Zone

A portion of the District lies within the boundaries of the City of Sugar Land Tax Increment Reinvestment Zone No. 4 (the "TIRZ"), which was established by the City on December 15, 2009. Under state law, a city may establish a TIRZ to promote private economic development of an area by investing in public infrastructure in that area. The base taxable assessed value of land within the TIRZ is established when the TIRZ is created. Any incremental growth in the taxable assessed value over the base is considered a "tax increment". Taxing jurisdictions within the Zone have the option of contributing all or portions of tax collections attributed to the tax increment to the City for use in financing the public infrastructure improvements. The purpose of the TIRZ is to fund certain infrastructure costs for entertainment, office and higher density retail development proposed within its boundaries.

Note 11 – Tax Increment Reinvestment Zone (continued)

Participation by the District in the TIRZ is limited to contributing the amount of the rebate discussed in Note 10 that would be paid to the District by the City in connection with the commercial land within the District that is also within the TIRZ for the life of the TIRZ or the final maturity of the District's debt, whichever is less.

Incremental value for the 2016 tax year is \$78,401,187. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, the District made payments in the amounts of \$123,854 to the City of Sugar Land.

Note 12 – Risk Management

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts: theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and personal injuries. The risk of loss is covered by commercial insurance. There have been no significant reductions in insurance coverage from the prior year. Settlement amounts have not exceeded insurance coverage for the current year or the three prior years.

Note 13 – Subsequent Event

On July 20, 2017, the District issued its Series 2017 Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds in the amount of \$2,885,000, in order to advance refund the Series 2009A bonds. This refunding will save the District \$196,834 in future debt service requirements.

Required Supplementary Information

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 Required Supplementary Information - Budgetary Comparison Schedule - General Fund For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

	Original Budget		Final Budget		Actual		Variance Positive (Negative)	
Revenues								
Property taxes	\$	270,000	\$	345,000	\$	358,833	\$	13,833
City of Sugar Land tax rebates						123,854		123,854
Investment earnings		6,000		6,000		2,058		(3,942)
Total Revenues		276,000		351,000		484,745		133,745
Expenditures								
Operating and administrative								
Professional fees		87,750		87,750		107,392		(19,642)
Contracted services		13,000		13,000		13,650		(650)
Administrative		65,166		65,166		28,788		36,378
Capital Outlay						641,961		(641,961)
Debt Service								
Interest and fees						6,629		(6,629)
Debt issuance costs						11,685		(11,685)
Intergovernmental								
TIRZ payments						123,854		(123,854)
Total Expenditures		165,916		165,916		933,959		(768,043)
Revenues Over/(Under) Expenditures		110,084		185,084		(449,214)		(634,298)
Fund Balance								
Beginning of the year		599,681		599,681		599,681		
End of the year	\$	709,765	\$	784,765	\$	150,467	\$	(634,298)

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 Notes to Required Supplementary Information June 30, 2017

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

An annual unappropriated budget is adopted for the General Fund by the District's Board of Directors. The budget is prepared using the same method of accounting as for financial reporting. The budget was amended during the year to reflect changes in anticipated revenues.

(This page intentionally left blank)

Texas Supplementary Information

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 TSI-1. Services and Rates June 30, 2017

1.	Serv	vices provided	by the District	During the Fisca	l Year:				
		Retail Water		Wholesale Wate	er	Solid Was	ste / Garbage		Drainage
		Retail Waster	water	Wholesale Was	tewater	Flood Co	ontrol		Irrigation
		Parks / Recr	reation	Fire Protection	X	Roads			Security
	\Box	Participates i	n joint venture,	regional system a	and/or wastew	vater service	e (other than e	mergen	acy interconnect)
	X	Other (Speci	fy): Wat	er and sewer serv	vices provided	l by the City	y of Sugar Lan	d	
2.	Ret	tail Service Pro	oviders	N/A					
				your district doe	s not provide	retail service	ces)		
a.	Ret	tail Rates for a	5/8" meter (or 6	equivalent):					
			·	• ,		Rate per			
			Minimum Charge	Minimum Usage	Flat Rate (Y / N)	Gallons Minimum		Hea	ge Levels
		Water:	Charge	Usage	(1 / 1N)	Willillillilli	Usage	Usa	to
		Wastewater:							to
		Surcharge:							to
		•	oys winter avera	ging for wastewa	uter usage?	Yes	Was	o tewater	
b.	. W	ater and Waste	ewater Retail Co	nnections:					
				Total	Ac	ctive			Active
	_	Meter S	Size	Connections	Conn	ections	ESFC Fact	tor	ESFC'S
		Unmete	ered				x 1.0		
		less than	3/4"		_		x 1.0		
		1" 1.5"			_		x 2.5 x 5.0		
		2"					x 3.0 x 8.0		
		- 3"					x 15.0		
		4"			<u> </u>		x 25.0		
		6"			_		x 50.0		
		8" 10"			_		x 80.0		
		Total W	ate r	_	_		x 115.0		
		Total Wast					x 1.0		

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 TSI-1. Services and Rates June 30, 2017

3.	Total Water Consumption during the f (You may omit this information if y	• `		•	
	Gallons pumped into system:	N/A		ntability Ratio: ed / Gallons pu	ımped)
	Gallons billed to customers:	N/A	N/A	_	r - 1
4.	Standby Fees (authorized only under (You may omit this information if y		,	ees)	
	Does the District have Debt Service	ce standby fees?		Yes	NoX
	If yes, Date of the most recent con	nmission Order:			
	Does the District have Operation a	and Maintenance	standby fees?	Yes	NoX
	If yes, Date of the most recent con	mmission Order:			
5.	Location of District (required for first otherwise this information may be	•	en information char	nges,	
	Is the District located entirely with	in one county?	Yes X	No No	
	County(ies) in which the District is	located:	Fort Bend Co	ounty	
	Is the District located within a city	?	Entirely X	Partly No	ot at all
	City(ies) in which the District is loc	cated:	City of Sugar	Land	
	Is the District located within a city	's extra territorial	jurisdiction (ETJ)?		
			Entirely	Partly No	ot at all X
	ETJs in which the District is locate	ed:			
	Are Board members appointed by a	an office outside	the district?	Yes	NoX
	If Yes, by whom?				

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 TSI-2 General Fund Expenditures For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Professional fees			
Legal		\$	74,925
Audit			14,100
Engineering			18,367
			107,392
Contracted services			
Bookkeeping			13,650
Administrative			
Directors fees			11,400
Printing and office supplies			1,096
Insurance			4,077
Other			12,215
			28,788
Capital outlay			641,961
Interest and fees			6,629
Debt issuance costs			
			11,685
Intergovernmental			
TIRZ payments			123,854
껸. 1 1.		Φ.	022.050
Total expenditures		\$	933,959
Reporting of Utility Services in Accordance with HB 3693:			
	Usage		Cost
Electrical	N/A		N/A
Water	N/A		N/A
Natural Gas	N/A		N/A

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 TSI-3. Investments June 30, 2017

Identification or

	Certificate	Interest	Maturity	Ba	alance at	In	terest
Fund	Number	Rate	Date	En	d of Year	Rec	eivable
Debt Service					_		
Certificate of deposit	6000022209	0.35%	08/14/17	\$	249,116	\$	327
Certificate of deposit	531562	0.45%	07/05/17		246,841		542
Certificate of deposit	3300041048	0.40%	07/05/17		236,946		462
					732,903		1,331
Total - Debt Service Fund				\$	732,903	\$	1,331

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 TSI-4. Taxes Levied and Receivable June 30, 2017

, ,	N	Iaintenance Taxes	Г	ebt Service Taxes		Road Debt ervice Taxes		Totals
Taxes Receivable, Beginning of Year	\$	6,451	\$	37,120	\$	29,871	\$	73,442
Adjustments	Ψ	(578)	Ψ	(3,029)	Ψ	(2,175)	Ψ	(5,782)
Adjusted Receivable		5,873		34,091		27,696		67,660
2016 Original Tax Levy		329,720		1,721,871		989,160		3,040,751
Adjustments		26,332		137,514		78,997		242,843
Adjusted Tax Levy		356,052		1,859,385		1,068,157		3,283,594
Total to be accounted for		361,925		1,893,476		1,095,853		3,351,254
Tax collections:								
Current year		354,107		1,849,224		1,062,320		3,265,651
Prior years		4,768		28,404		25,388		58,560
Total Collections		358,874		1,877,628		1,087,709		3,324,211
Taxes Receivable, End of Year	\$	3,051	\$	15,848	\$	8,144	\$	27,043
Taxes Receivable, By Years								
2016	\$	1,946	\$	10,161	\$	5,837	\$	17,944
2015		225		1,352		1,223		2,800
2014		249		1,303		915		2,467
2013 and prior		631		3,032		169		3,832
Total Taxes Receivable	\$	3,051	\$	15,848	\$	8,144	\$	27,043
		2016		2015		2014		2013
Property Valuations:								
Land	\$	187,930,171	\$	186,429,911	\$	183,471,191	\$	167,745,861
Improvements		597,570,190		544,743,690		446,080,210		343,233,530
Personal Property		17,995,290		17,187,140		19,266,680		3,135,260
Exemptions		(12,267,993)		(10,356,273)		(11,126,633)		(8,182,590)
Total Property Valuations		791,227,658	\$	738,004,468	\$	637,691,448	\$	505,932,061
Tax Rates per \$100 Valuation:								
*Maintenance tax rates (1)	\$	0.045	\$	0.035	\$	0.045	\$	0.100
Debt service tax rates		0.235		0.210		0.235		0.350
**Road service tax rates		0.135		0.190		0.165		0.030
Total Tax Rates per \$100 Valuation	\$	0.415	\$	0.435	\$	0.445	\$	0.480
Adjusted Tax Levy:	\$	3,283,594	\$	3,210,319	\$	2,837,727	\$	2,428,474
Percentage of Taxes Collected to Taxes Levied ***		99.45%		99.63%		99.90%		99.99%

^{*} Maximum Operations Maintenance Tax Rate Approved by Voters: \$_\$1.50 on September 10, 2005

^{**} Maximum Road Maintenance Tax Rate Approved by Voters: \$_\$0.25 on \$_\$May 12, 2007

^{***} Calculated as taxes collected for a tax year divided by taxes levied for that tax year.

⁽¹⁾ The District has only levied a maintenance tax for operations purposes and not for road maintenance. See accompanying auditors' report.

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 TSI-5. Long-Term Debt Service Requirements Series 2009--by Years June 30, 2017

		Interest Due		
Due During Fiscal	Principal Due	September 1,		
Years Ending	September 1	March 1	Total	
2018	\$ 280,000	\$ 22,505	\$ 302,505	
2019	280,000	7,490	287,490	
	\$ 560,000	\$ 29,995	\$ 589,995	

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 TSI-5. Long-Term Debt Service Requirements Series 2009A--by Years June 30, 2017

		Interest Due	
Due During Fiscal	Principal Due	September 1,	
Years Ending	September 1	March 1	Total
2018	\$ 205,000.0	\$ 157,265.0	\$ 362,265.0
2019	205,000	145,990	350,990
2020	205,000	136,612	341,612
2021	205,000	128,975	333,975
2022	205,000	121,082	326,082
2023	205,000	112,985	317,985
2024	205,000	104,683	309,683
2025	205,000	96,277	301,277
2026	205,000	87,718	292,718
2027	205,000	79,007	284,007
2028	205,000	70,166	275,166
2029	205,000	61,197	266,197
2030	205,000	51,972	256,972
2031	205,000	42,490	247,490
2032	200,000	33,125	233,125
2033	200,000	23,750	223,750
2034	200,000	14,250	214,250
2035	200,000	4,750	204,750
	\$ 3,670,000	\$ 1,472,294	\$ 5,142,294

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 TSI-5. Long-Term Debt Service Requirements Series 2011 Road--by Years June 30, 2017

		Interest Due	
Due During Fiscal	Principal Due	September 1,	
Years Ending	September 1	March 1	Total
2018	\$ 100,000	\$ 119,781	\$ 219,781
2019	105,000	114,016	219,016
2020	110,000	107,969	217,969
2021	115,000	102,791	217,791
2022	120,000	98,531	218,531
2023	130,000	93,919	223,919
2024	135,000	88,950	223,950
2025	140,000	83,619	223,619
2026	150,000	77,819	227,819
2027	155,000	71,622	226,622
2028	165,000	65,022	230,022
2029	175,000	57,900	232,900
2030	185,000	50,250	235,250
2031	195,000	42,175	237,175
2032	200,000	33,781	233,781
2033	215,000	24,828	239,828
2034	225,000	15,201	240,201
2035	235,000	5,141	240,141
	\$ 2,855,000	\$ 1,253,315	\$ 4,108,315

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 TSI-5. Long-Term Debt Service Requirements Series 2012--by Years June 30, 2017

		Interest Due	
Due During Fiscal	Principal Due	September 1,	
Years Ending	September 1	March 1	Total
2018	\$ 285,000	\$ 148,200	\$ 433,200
2019	285,000	140,362	425,362
2020	285,000	131,813	416,813
2021	285,000	123,262	408,262
2022	285,000	115,425	400,425
2023	285,000	108,300	393,300
2024	285,000	100,462	385,462
2025	285,000	91,913	376,913
2026	285,000	83,362	368,362
2027	285,000	74,813	359,813
2028	285,000	66,262	351,262
2029	285,000	57,713	342,713
2030	285,000	49,163	334,163
2031	285,000	40,613	325,613
2032	285,000	31,884	316,884
2033	285,000	22,978	307,978
2034	285,000	13,894	298,894
2035	285,000	4,631	289,631
	\$ 5,130,000	\$ 1,405,050	\$ 6,535,050

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 TSI-5. Long-Term Debt Service Requirements Series 2013 Refunding--by Years June 30, 2017

		Interest Due	
Due During Fiscal	Principal Due	September 1,	
Years Ending	September 1	March 1	Total
2018	\$ 320,000	\$ 199,681	\$ 519,681
2019	330,000	193,181	523,181
2020	340,000	186,481	526,481
2021	345,000	179,631	524,631
2022	355,000	169,969	524,969
2023	370,000	157,281	527,281
2024	380,000	144,156	524,156
2025	400,000	130,506	530,506
2026	415,000	116,244	531,244
2027	425,000	101,544	526,544
2028	440,000	86,406	526,406
2029	450,000	70,832	520,832
2030	465,000	55,691	520,691
2031	485,000	40,544	525,544
2032	495,000	24,619	519,619
2033	510,000	8,288	518,288
	\$ 6,525,000	\$ 1,865,054	\$ 8,390,054

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 TSI-5. Long-Term Debt Service Requirements Series 2014 Road--by Years June 30, 2017

		Interest Due		
Due During Fiscal	Principal Due	September 1,		
Years Ending	September 1	March 1	Total	
2018	\$ 745,000	\$ 426,513	\$ 1,171,513	
2019	745,000	411,613	1,156,613	
2020	745,000	392,988	1,137,988	
2021	745,000	370,638	1,115,638	
2022	745,000	348,288	1,093,288	
2023	745,000	325,938	1,070,938	
2024	745,000	303,588	1,048,588	
2025	745,000	281,238	1,026,238	
2026	745,000	258,888	1,003,888	
2027	745,000	235,606	980,606	
2028	745,000	211,394	956,394	
2029	745,000	186,250	931,250	
2030	745,000	160,175	905,175	
2031	745,000	133,169	878,169	
2032	745,000	104,300	849,300	
2033	745,000	74,500	819,500	
2034	745,000	44,700	789,700	
2035	745,000	14,900	759,900	
	\$ 13,410,000	\$ 4,284,681	\$ 17,694,681	

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 TSI-5. Long-Term Debt Service Requirements Series 2015--by Years June 30, 2017

		Interest Due	
Due During Fiscal	Principal Due	September 1,	
Years Ending	September 1	March 1	Total
2018	\$ 140,000	\$ 65,475	\$ 205,475
2019	140,000	62,675	202,675
2020	140,000	59,875	199,875
2021	140,000	57,075	197,075
2022	140,000	54,275	194,275
2023	140,000	51,300	191,300
2024	140,000	48,150	188,150
2025	140,000	44,650	184,650
2026	140,000	40,800	180,800
2027	140,000	36,950	176,950
2028	140,000	32,925	172,925
2029	140,000	28,725	168,725
2030	140,000	24,438	164,438
2031	140,000	20,063	160,063
2032	140,000	15,600	155,600
2033	140,000	11,050	151,050
2034	135,000	6,581	141,581
2035	135,000	2,194	137,194
	\$ 2,510,000	\$ 662,801	\$ 3,172,801

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 TSI-5. Long-Term Debt Service Requirements Series 2016 Refunding--by Years June 30, 2017

		Interest Due			
Due During Fiscal	Principal Due	Principal Due September 1,			
Years Ending	September 1	March 1	Total		
2018	\$ 230,000	\$ 306,800	\$ 536,800		
2019	235,000	302,150	537,150		
2020	520,000	294,600	814,600		
2021	520,000	281,600	801,600		
2022	525,000	265,925	790,925		
2023	535,000	250,025	785,025		
2024	540,000	233,900	773,900		
2025	545,000	214,900	759,900		
2026	560,000	192,800	752,800		
2027	575,000	170,100	745,100		
2028	585,000	146,900	731,900		
2029	600,000	123,200	723,200		
2030	610,000	99,000	709,000		
2031	625,000	74,300	699,300		
2032	645,000	48,900	693,900		
2033	660,000	22,800	682,800		
2034	240,000	4,800	244,800		
	\$ 8,750,000	\$ 3,032,700	\$ 11,782,700		

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 TSI-5. Long-Term Debt Service Requirements All Bonded Debt Series--by Years June 30, 2017

		Interest Due	
Due During Fiscal	Principal Due	September 1,	
Years Ending	September 1	March 1	Total
2018	\$ 2,305,000	\$ 1,446,220	\$ 3,751,220
2019	2,325,000	1,377,477	3,702,477
2020	2,345,000	1,310,338	3,655,338
2021	2,355,000	1,243,972	3,598,972
2022	2,375,000	1,173,495	3,548,495
2023	2,410,000	1,099,748	3,509,748
2024	2,430,000	1,023,889	3,453,889
2025	2,460,000	943,103	3,403,103
2026	2,500,000	857,631	3,357,631
2027	2,530,000	769,642	3,299,642
2028	2,565,000	679,075	3,244,075
2029	2,600,000	585,817	3,185,817
2030	2,635,000	490,689	3,125,689
2031	2,680,000	393,354	3,073,354
2032	2,710,000	292,209	3,002,209
2033	2,755,000	188,194	2,943,194
2034	1,830,000	99,426	1,929,426
2035	1,600,000	31,616	1,631,616
	\$ 43,410,000	\$ 14,005,890	\$ 57,415,890

(This page intentionally left blank)

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 TSI-6. Change in Long-Term Bonded Debt June 30, 2017

	Bond Issue							
	Series 2007 4.50% - 6.50% 9/1; 3/1 9/1/08 - 9/1/32		Series 2009 4.0% - 6.0% 9/1; 3/1 9/1/10 - 9/1/33		Series 2009A		S	eries 2011 Road
Interest rate Dates interest payable Maturity dates						55% - 5.50% 9/1; 3/1 /10 - 9/1/34		5% - 5.625% 9/1; 3/1 /13 - 9/1/34
Beginning bonds outstanding	\$	170,000	\$	840,000	\$	3,875,000	\$	2,950,000
Bonds issued								
Bonds retired		(170,000)		(280,000)		(205,000)		(95,000)
Ending bonds outstanding	\$		\$	560,000	\$	3,670,000	\$	2,855,000
Interest paid during fiscal year	\$	5,525	\$	37,555	\$	168,540	\$	125,266
Paying agent's name and city Series 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2009A Series 2011 and 2013 Series 2012, 2014, 2015 and 2016	Wells Fargo Bank N.A., Houston, Texas Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Dallas, Texas The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., Dallas, Texas							as, Texas
Bond Authority: Amount Authorized by Voters Amount Issued Remaining To Be Issued		er, Sewer and inage Bonds 86,450,000 (33,850,000) 52,600,000	Pa \$	rk Bonds 7,600,000 7,600,000	* R	37,675,000 (18,105,000) 19,570,000	Refu \$	100 and 100 an
All bonds are secured with tax revenues.	Bond	s may also be s	secured	with other re	venu	es in combinat	ion w	ith taxes.
Debt Service Fund cash and investments	balan	ces as of June 3	30, 201	7:			\$	4,978,392
Average annual debt service payment (pr	incipal	and interest) f	or rem	aining term o	f all c	lebt:	\$	3,189,772
See accompanying auditors' report.								

	Bond Issue								
	Series 2013					eries 2014			
	Se	eries 2012	F	Refunding		Road	Series 2015		
Interest rate Dates interest payable Maturity dates	2.50% - 3.25% 9/1; 3/1 9/1/13 - 9/1/34			0% - 3.50% 9/1; 3/1 /13 - 9/1/32		.0% - 4.0% 9/1; 3/1 /15 - 9/1/34	2.0% - 3.25% 9/1; 3/1 9/1/16 - 9/1/34		
Beginning bonds outstanding	\$	5,420,000	\$	6,840,000	\$	14,155,000	\$	2,645,000	
Bonds issued									
Bonds retired		(290,000)		(315,000)		(745,000)		(135,000)	
Ending bonds outstanding	\$	5,130,000	\$	6,525,000	\$	13,410,000	\$	2,510,000	
Interest paid during fiscal year	\$	156,113	\$	206,031	\$	441,413	\$	68,225	

Page 3 of 3

B	ond Issue	_	
Se	eries 2016		
R	efunding		Totals
	0% - 4.0%		
	9/1; 3/1		
9/1/	16 - 9/1/33		
\$	8,765,000	\$	45,660,000
	(4 F 000)	ф	(2.250.000)
	(15,000)	*	(2,250,000)
_		_	
\$	8,750,000	\$	43,410,000
\$	309,250	\$	1,517,918

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138
TSI-7a. Comparative Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures - General Fund
For the Last Five Fiscal Years

				Α	mounts			
	2017		2016		2015	2014		2013
Revenues								
Property taxes	\$	358,833	\$ 255,776	\$	288,289	\$	504,024	\$ 420,141
City of Sugar Land tax rebates		123,854	90,857		76,541			
Investment earnings		2,058	2,656		4,232		1,385	500
Total Revenues		484,745	349,289		369,062		505,409	420,641
Expenditures								
Operating and administrative								
Professional fees		107,392	101,986		81,653		84,501	78,997
Contracted services		13,650	12,975		12,900		13,050	12,000
Administrative		28,788	24,775		32,176		36,805	44,631
Other					165		700	760
Capital outlay		641,961	479,828					
Debt service								
Interest and fees		6,629	4,336					
Debt issuance costs		11,685						
Intergovernmental								
TIRZ payments		123,854	90,857		55,573		20,969	
Total Expenditures		933,959	714,757		182,467		156,025	136,388
Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	\$	(449,214)	\$ (365,468)	\$	186,595	\$	349,384	\$ 284,253

^{*}Percentage is negligible

Percent of Fund Total Revenues

2017	2016	2015	2014	2013
7.40/	720/	700/	4000/	4.0007
74%	73%	78%	100%	100%
26%	26%	21%		
*	1%	1%	*	*
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
22%	29%	22%	17%	19%
3%	4%	3%	3%	3%
6%	7%	9%	7%	11%
		*	*	*
132%	137%			
1%	1%			
2%				
26%	26%	15%	4%	
192%	204%	49%	31%	33%
(92%)	(104%)	51%	69%	67%

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138
TSI-7b. Comparative Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures - Debt Service Fund
For the Last Five Fiscal Years

	Amounts							
	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013			
Revenues								
Property taxes	\$ 2,965,428	\$ 2,916,502	\$ 2,542,375	\$ 1,917,222	\$ 1,861,349			
Penalties and interest	48,547	13,861	12,467	9,421	11,182			
City of Sugar Land tax rebates	983,580	975,408	932,458	624,154	445,212			
Accrued interest on bonds sold		13,751	36,070		17,198			
Investment earnings	7,583	7,179	6,803	8,537	11,517			
Miscellaneous					85			
Total Revenues	4,005,138	3,926,701	3,530,173	2,559,334	2,346,543			
Expenditures								
Tax collection services	53,729	44,419	42,599	37,462	34,718			
Other	7,560	5,030	5,000	10,000	5,000			
Debt service								
Principal	2,250,000	2,065,000	1,295,000	1,350,000	800,000			
Interest and fees	1,523,718	1,635,292	1,534,128	1,322,286	1,154,953			
Debt issuance costs		242,745			323,419			
Total Expenditures	3,835,007	3,992,486	2,876,727	2,719,748	2,318,090			
Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	\$ 170,131	\$ (65,785)	\$ 653,446	\$ (160,414)	\$ 28,453			
Total Active Retail Water Connections	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Total Active Retail Wastewater Connection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

^{*}Percentage is negligible

Percent of Fund Total Revenues

2014	2015	2016	2017
76%	73%	75%	75%
*	*	*	1%
24%	26%	25%	25%
	1%	*	
*	*	*	*
100%	100%	100%	101%
1%	1%	1%	1%
*	*	*	*
53%	37%	53%	56%
			38%
	,		20,1
106%	81%	102%	95%
	0.40	20/	0.06
	76% * 24% * 100% 1% * 53% 52%	73% 76% * * 26% 24% 1% * 100% 100% 1% * 37% 53% 43% 52% 81% 106%	75% 73% 76% * * * * 25% 26% 24% * 1% * * * 100% 100% 100% 1 100% 100% 1 100% 100%

Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 138 TSI-8. Board Members, Key Personnel and Consultants For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Complete District Mailing Address:	3200 Southwest Freeway, Suite 2600, Houston, TX 77027							
District Business Telephone Number:	(713) 860-6400							
Submission Date of the most recent Dis-	trict Registration For	m						
(TWC Sections 36.054 and 49.054):	May 9, 2016							
Limit on Fees of Office that a Director r	Limit on Fees of Office that a Director may receive during a fiscal year:							
(Set by Board Resolution TWC Sectio	n 49.0600)							
Names:	Term of Office (Elected or Fees of Appointed) or Office Paid Date Hired *		Expense d Reimburse- ments		Title at Year End			
Board Members								
Terrie Gornet	5/2016 - 5/2020	\$	2,700	\$	1,864	President		
David Keene	5/2016 - 5/2020		2,550		2,481	Vice President		
Mark Assise	5/2014 - 5/2018		1,950		1,332	Secretary		
Gary Justice	5/2014 - 5/2018		1,350		121	Assistant Vice President		
Paula Stephens	5/2016 - 5/2020		2,850		2,163	Assistant Secretary		
Consultants			mounts Paid					
Allen Boone Humphries Robinson LLP General legal fees	2005	\$	176,023			Attorney		
LJA Engineering and Surveying, Inc.	2005		18,367			Engineer		
Myrtle Cruz, Inc.	2005		14,746			Bookkeeper		
Tax Tech, Inc.	2005		21,178			Tax Assessor / Collector		
Fort Bend Central Appraisal District	Legislation		20,996			Property Valuation		
Perdue, Brandon, Fielder, Collins, & Mott, LLP	2006		7,998			Delinquent Tax Attorney		
McGrath & Co., PLLC	Annual		14,650			Auditor		
FirstSouthwest, a Division of Hilltop								

^{*} Fees of Office are the amounts actually paid to a director during the District's fiscal year. See accompanying auditors' report.

Securities Inc.

2005

Financial Advisor